

Opinion of the Diploma Thesis Reviewer

Department: Business Economics
Author: Bc. Emmanuel K. Ankomah
Title: Economic Impact Of Food Production in Ghana
Reviewer: Ing. Tomáš Sadílek

Overall result (evaluate each criterion with 0–10 points):

Topicality	10	Theoretical and practical aspects	8
Objective(s)	9	Quality of contents (case study)	9
Survey of literature	8	Application of selected methods	9
Methodology	8	Conclusions and recommendations	9
Originality, practical importance	9	Logical structure, formal aspects	7

Total points: 86

Final decision: I recommend this thesis to be defended.

Detailed review:

1. Topicality of the theme under study

(The theme refers to requirements of practice, it is oriented to future requirements, ...)

The topic chosen is currently needed by Ghana's government, because it is dealing with the problem of food shortage. This topic is very actual and necessary to be solved.

2. Objective(s)

(Formulation of objectives, working hypotheses, demandingness, ...)

The aim and objective of the thesis is well defined and accurately formulated with the right hypotheses.

3. Survey of literature

(Extent, topicality, use of foreign and principal publications, application of literary data, discussion about alternative opinions, analysis of citations, application of theoretical knowledge, ...)

There coverage of literature and website references is not very wide, but sufficient for this thesis.

4. Selection of a suitable method

(Exactness, applicability and suitability of methods, comparison of selected methods with other approaches, ...)

Methods used are quite well elaborated and sufficiently deals with the set objective.

5. **Practical importance, originality**

(Frequency and topicality of the selected problem, non-existence of solution, unavailability of solution, expected contribution(s), ...)

The problem solved is very important for Ghana's economy and for solution of population feeding problem. This analyze can be positive contribution in dealing with agricultural improvements not only in Ghana.

6. **Theoretical and practical aspects**

(Extent of general and specific knowledge concerning the selected problem, capability to apply the obtained theoretical knowledge in practice, ...)

The thesis proves that, the author has deep and extensive knowledge of the topic chosen and has used this knowledge appropriately in solving the given problem.

7. **Quality of contents (Case study)**

(Rightness of analysis, cogency and reliability of facts, authenticity of data, removal of errors, achievements, validation and testing of hypotheses, ...)

The study is in some parts not very well-arranged but the main objectives have been achieved to a sufficient level and hypotheses are correctly evaluated and well commented.

8. **Application of selected methods**

(Capability to apply theoretical knowledge, suitability of concrete data, solution of shortcomings, reasoning, comparison of results obtained by different methods, ...)

Theoretical knowledge is well applied and has been practically used to solve problems in Ghana's farming.

9. **Conclusions and recommendations**

(Correctness of conclusions, exactness of their formulation, adequacy, generalisation of results, applicability of results, ...)

Conclusions made are well formulated but are not digest. Analysis performed has been explicitly described. Recommendations made are suitable for the given conditions and well applicable.

10. **Logical structure, formal aspects**

(Correctness of segmentation, logical structure of text, correctness of terminology, quality and arrangement of graphs and figures, style, language, ...)

The thesis is not very well structured. The use of terminology is adequate but the language level needs improvement. The quality and titels of graphs and tables could be better arranged. There is not kept same style of letters size. In thesis appears blank pages. Autor should keep better attention on that how the work looks like.

Other comments and problems, which should be explained by the author and mentioned within the framework of discussion:

How would you deal with groovy farming style (burning of forests) ?

Date:

Reviewer's signature