

OPPONENT'S OPINION OF DIPLOMA THESIS

Study programme: International Territorial Studies
Department: 313
Author of thesis: A. Bernard Adjirackor
Name of thesis: Adaptation process of Ghanaians in Europe
Supervisor of thesis: Ing Mgr. Jiří Čeněk
Opponent of thesis: Ing. Veronika Jadczačková, Ph.D.

TYPE OF DIPLOMA WORK: applied

ASSESSMENT OF THESIS: (always add verbal comments)

1. COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIRED ASSIGNMENT:

The thesis fully complies with requirements arising from the assignment. The stated objectives were fulfilled, the suggested analysis and proclaimed results are in line with these objectives.

2. WORK WITH ACADEMIC LITERATURE:

Student showed his ability to work with rather extensive academic literature proving his devotion to the topic of interest. Student used citation according to standard.

3. CONTENT LEVEL OF WORK:

The thesis structure is logical. Both the literature review and empirical part are elaborated in a clear and scientific manner. Nonetheless, several shortcomings are present. As stated in the thesis, the CCAI questionnaire uses a 6-point scale. The ordinal nature of the scale (even though scored according to a predetermined formula to approach the cardinal scales) is rarely normally distributed (the normality test as well as other tests supporting the model assumptions are missing in the thesis), and thus, the use of parametric test of variance ANOVA is questionable. Therefore, the nonparametric counterpart - the Kruskal-Wallis test - might serve the purpose better. If post-hoc test are performed, the Bonferroni correction of the alpha level is pointless.

4. CONTRIBUTION TO PRACTICE, ORIGINALITY:

The contribution of the thesis is indisputable and might be of great use to several state agencies in the host country as well as to Ghanaian newcomers.



5. ADEQUACY OF THE CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, PROPOSALS:

The results are sound, the mentioned limitations of the study are fair and the discussion of proclaimed results with other authors is done on a very high level.

6. FORMAL LAYOUT:

The formal layout tends to be in some parts of the thesis somehow "non-standard", however, can still be considered as adequate.

SPECIFIC REMARKS AND COMMENTS ABOUT THE THESIS:

Could student explain the formula for determination of a sample size (p. 45) and especially comment on a level of chosen standard deviation?

DEFENSE OF THESIS: recommended

FINAL CLASSIFICATION PROPOSAL: A

Date: 13.1.2017

.....
Signature of oponent