SUPERVISOR´S OPINION OF BACHELOR THESIS

Study programme: International Territorial Studies

Department: 312

Author of thesis: Aneta Kompérová

Name of thesis: The influence of Islam on integration of Muslims into
Czech society

Supervisor of thesis: Ing. Mgr. Jiří Čeněk, Ph.D.

ASSESSMENT OF THESIS: (always add verbal comments)

1. COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIRED ASSIGNMENT:

The thesis complies with the required assignment.

2. WORK WITH ACADEMIC LITERATURE:

The thesis is written on the basis of sufficient number of references. Both citations
in the text and in the list of references are done according to the standards of
academic writing.

3. CONTENT LEVEL OF WORK:

The theoretical part the author of the thesis defines the basic concepts connected
to Islam, its history and development and its presence in contemporary Europe
and Czech Republic. I think that the author avoided the danger of biased
perception of the topic that is highly current and often causes strong emotions.

In the empirical part the author conducted her own questionnaire survey among
Muslims living in Czech Republic with the goal to learn about the integration of
Muslim immigrants in to Czech society. The overall quality of methods and data
analysis sections is high for bachelor thesis.

4. FORMAL LAYOUT:

There are no major mistakes in the formal layout of the thesis. The lists of figures
and tables (p. 77-79) should not contain the "own research" remarks. Some parts
of the thesis should be more structured by using paragraphs (e.g. p. 53, 55).

The levels of agreement with some questions should be arranged in the tables
from the most positive (strongly agree) to the most negative (strongly disagree),
or the other way around (see tables 22, 28 for example). They are arranged
according to their relative frequencies instead, which hinders their
comprehensibility.

The values in tables 45-46, 49-50, 53-54, 57-58 should have been rounded to 2-3
decimal places.

5.COOPERATION WITH SUPERVISOR:

The author consulted the thesis on a regular basis.

SPECIFIC REMARKS AND COMMENTS ABOUT THE THESIS:

Chapter 4.3, tables 1-12: I would choose different labels for the tables. Would not label them "Dividing respondents…" but "The frequencies of respondents accordning to…"

It would be appropriate to report consistently relative frequencies in the text accompanying the results rather than to mix absolute and relative frequencies (e.g. p. 50-51.

It is not clear from the text of the thesis, why the author used Chi-square test in section 4.4.3.

Question:

1) What logic underlies the selection of the questions that the author performed Chi-square test upon in section 4.4.3 (63-67). Why has the author chosen these particular questions? It is not explicitly mentioned in the text (ch. 4.4.3).

2) It would have been interesting to perform an analysis of responses based on the country/region of origin of the respondents. Why did not the author performed this analysis?

DEFENSE OF THESIS: recommended

FINAL CLASSIFICATION PROPOSAL: B

Date: 22.05.2017

....
Signature of supervisor