

OPPONENT'S OPINION OF BACHELOR THESIS

Study programme:	Regional Development
Department:	Department of Social Development
Author of thesis:	Chroust Přemek
Name of thesis:	The process of adaptation of Czech expatriates to foreign culture
Supervisor of thesis:	Ing. Mgr. Jiří Čeněk
Opponent of thesis:	Mgr. et Mgr. Miroslav Horák, Ph.D.

TYPE OF DIPLOMA WORK: applied

ASSESSMENT OF THESIS: (always add verbal comments)

1. COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIRED ASSIGNMENT:

All requirements included in the assignment were fulfilled.

2. WORK WITH ACADEMIC LITERATURE:

There are relevant sources mentioned in the References section. References with more than 3 authors are abbreviated as "at al.", which should be replaced by "et al." where "et" means "and" in Latin. Velký sociologický slovník has definitely its authors that are omitted in the thesis. Number of page is not defined in case of some direct quotations (e.g. p. 22).

3. CONTENT LEVEL OF WORK:

I see dividing the thesis to theoretical and empirical part as artificial and unnecessary. A reader of such text should be informed of basic terms, so the chapters 3-7 could be removed. I would recommend to rewrite them and put special attention to Australian conditions.

The way how results of empirical research are presented in tables 4, 5, 6 and 7 seems unclear and confusing. General description of included data should accompany the tables to provide more adequate information on a studied topic.

4. FORMAL LAYOUT:

Formal layout is not set up correctly. Logos of faculty and university are missing on the front page. Margins are smaller than they should be according to vice-dean's regulation. There is also unallowed space behind paragraphs that is making the text longer than it is. Chapters 3-7 (pp. 9-21) could be easily transformed to subchapters. Thus, free space at the end of chapters 4, 6 and 7 would be eliminated.


SPECIFIC REMARKS AND COMMENTS ABOUT THE THESIS:

It is necessary to record interviews of average length determined by the researcher and not exceeded it as it is stated on the p. 25. The content of differently long interviews is uncomparable.

DEFENSE OF THESIS: recommended

FINAL CLASSIFICATION PROPOSAL: D

Date: 3. 6. 2015



.....
Signature of opponent