

OPPONENT'S OPINION OF BACHELOR THESIS

Study programme: Regional development

Department: Department of Social Development

Author of thesis: Jan Divoký

Name of thesis: The process of adaptation of the foreigners to Czech culture

Supervisor of thesis: Ing.Mgr.Jiří Čeněk

TYPE OF DIPLOMA WORK: applied

ASSESSMENT OF THESIS: (always add verbal comments)

1. COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIRED ASSIGNMENT:

The bachelor thesis meets majority of the requirements and aims stated in the assignment.

2. WORK WITH ACADEMIC LITERATURE:

The author uses 23 recent resources in the text predominantly Czech ones. The theoretical part covers all relevant areas. However, it would be useful had the author used more English current resources dealing with his topic of adaptation of foreigners to Czech culture. Also, some authors (Černík, p.9, Šišková, p.26) mentioned in the text are missing from the list of references.

3. CONTENT LEVEL OF WORK:

The author has chosen an attractive topic for his thesis, at the same time, I have some objections to the empirical part. Firstly, he does not clearly and visibly set his research questions (on top of p. 31). Secondly, he correctly uses semi-structured interviews as a research method but does not provide information explaining how he chose his research sample (see p.31), what questions did he ask his respondents and how did he analyse collected data. The reader must guess what was asked and search for possible questions in the text which makes it difficult to read. Also, a good description of used methods helps the reader assess the quality of the work done especially in a qualitative research.

Finally, it would improve quality of the thesis substantially, had the author read and applied appropriate literature addressing his research problem.

On the other hand, I appreciate very interesting data collected on Vietnamese adaptation to Czech culture.

4. FORMAL LAYOUT:

The thesis fulfills majority of requirements. My main criticism here is to rather informal language discourse used by the author and to a large number of spelling mistakes in the text.

SPECIFIC REMARKS AND COMMENTS ABOUT THE THESIS:

How did you choose your respondents?

What questions did you ask your respondents? Can you provide transcription of the interviews?

How did you analyse the collected data?

DEFENSE OF THESIS: recommended

FINAL CLASSIFICATION PROPOSAL: E

Date: 9.6.2014

.....
Signature of opponent